## UNITED STATES SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, DC 20549

FORM 10-Q

(Marl	k O	ne)
( IVIUI )	$\sim$	110

[X] QUARTERLY REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934 FOR THE QUARTERLY PERIOD ENDED: SEPTEMBER 30, 2000

OR

[]	TRANSITION REPORT UNDER SECTION 13 OR 15 (d) OF THE
	EXCHANGE ACT FOR THE TRANSITION PERIOD FROMTO

COMMISSION FILE NUMBER: 0-26520

NEOPROBE CORPORATION (Exact name of registrant as specified in its charter)

DELAWARE 31-1080091

(State or other jurisdiction of incorporation or organization)

(I.R.S. employer identification no.)

425 METRO PLACE NORTH, SUITE 300, DUBLIN, OHIO 43017 (Address of Principal Executive Offices)

REGISTRANT'S TELEPHONE NUMBER, INCLUDING AREA CODE: 614.793.7500

Indicate by check whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days.

Yes |X| No\_\_\_

26,265,103 SHARES OF COMMON STOCK, PAR VALUE \$.001 PER SHARE (Number of shares of issuer's common equity outstanding as of the close of business on November 3, 2000)

PART I - FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

NEOPROBE CORPORATION BALANCE SHEETS

<table></table>
<caption></caption>
ASSETS

SEPTEMBER 30, DECEMBER 31, 2000 1999 (UNAUDITED)

<S><C> <C> Current assets: Cash and cash equivalents \$3,270,861 \$4,882,537 Accounts receivable, net 1,076,754 453,406 1,134,427 Inventory 453,321 Prepaid expenses and other 49,933 674,165 Total current assets 4,850,869 7,144,535 1,500,000 Investment in affiliates Property and equipment 2,200,623 2,167,245 1,264,299 Less accumulated depreciation and amortization 1,320,138 880,485 902,946 Intangible assets, net 771,308 775,088 Total assets \$6,502,662 \$10.322.569 </TABLE>

CONTINUED

2

NEOPROBE CORPORATION BALANCE SHEETS, CONTINUED

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

LIABILITIES AND STOCKHOLDERS' EQUITY (DEFICIT)

SEPTEMBER 30, D

DECEMBER 31,

Line of credit \$ \$ 480,000 Notes payable to finance company 154,626 Capital lease obligations, current 11,000 87,007 Accrued liabilities 691,182 1,365,649 Accounts payable 322,403 759,961 Deferred license revenue, current 800,000 800,000 Obligation to preferred stockholder, current 2,500,000

2000

1999

------

Total current liabilities 1,824,585 6,147,243

Capital lease obligations 35,903 68,809
Deferred license revenue 2,400,000 3,000,000
Obligation to preferred stockholder - 1,245,536

.....

Total liabilities 4,260,488 10,461,588

Commitments and contingencies

Stockholders' equity (deficit):

Preferred stock; \$.001 par value; 5,000,000 shares authorized at September 30, 2000 and December 31,1999; none issued and outstanding (500,000 shares designated as Series A, \$.001 par value, at September 30, 2000 and and December 31, 1999; none outstanding)

Common stock; \$.001 par value; 50,000,000 shares authorized; 26,264,103 shares issued and outstanding at September 30, 2000; 23,046,644 shares issued and outstanding at December 31, 1999 Additional paid-in capital

26,264 23,047 120,668,639 119,407,204

(118,452,729) (119,569,270)

-----

Total stockholders' equity (deficit) 2,242,174 (139,019)

Total liabilities and stockholders' equity (deficit) \$ 6,502,662 \$ 10,322,569

</TABLE>

Accumulated deficit

See accompanying notes to the financial statements

3

## NEOPROBE CORPORATION STATEMENTS OF OPERATIONS (UNAUDITED)

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

<caption></caption>	THREE MOI SEPTEMBI	NTHS END ER 30,	ED	NINE MC SEPTEMBER 3	ONTHS ENDED 50,	
20	000	1999	2000	1999		
<\$>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	·	
Revenues: Net sales	\$2.124.001	¢ 1 40	705	26 242 461	\$5 226 406	
	200,00	5 1,400 00	- 6:	66,242,461 50,000 	-	
Total revenues	2,324,99	1,40	00,785	6,892,461	5,226,406	
Cost of goods sold			-	3,512,767		
Gross profit	1,094,249		,232 3	5,379,694 	3,480,930	
Operating expenses: Research and development Marketing and selling	6 42,3	60,266 397 1,	77,807 623,874	435,620 205,373	892,103 3,881,296	
Losses related to subsidiaries in liquid	dation	-	-	1,794,222 - 	2,893,814 475,231	
Total operating expenses	659	9,993	2,779,270 2,435,2		5 8,142,444	
Income (loss) from operations			(1,847,038) 944,4			
Other income (expenses):						
Interest income	53,573	15	916	141,999	63,906	
Other	47,025	176,13	9 76	(20,435) 5,794 24	7,380	
Total other income (expenses)	Ģ	96,130	166,217	198,358	242,503	
Net income (loss) before income taxes		530,386	(1,680,8	321) 1,142	,837 (4,419,011	
Income taxes	26,296		- 26	,296	-	

Net income (loss)	504,09	00 (1,680,82	1,116,541	(4,419,011)
Conversion discount on preferred stock Accretion to potential redemption value Preferred stock dividend requirements Loss on retirement of preferred stock		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	04,225 - 1,786 - - 764,668	1,795,775 1,804,225 108,036
Income (loss) attributable to common stockholders	\$50-	4,090 \$ (3,53	6,832) \$351	,873 \$ (8,127,047)
	\$ 0.02 \$ 0.02 25,855,341 26,075,393	\$ (0.15) \$ (0.15) 23,044,405 23,044,405	\$ 0.01 \$ 25,628,355	(0.35) (0.35) 22,988,908 22,988,908

See accompanying notes to the financial statements

NEOPROBE CORPORATION STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS (UNAUDITED)

Effect of exchange rate changes on cash

<TABLE>

<table> <caption></caption></table>			
CAI HON	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,		D
-	2000	1999	
<s> Net cash provided by operating activities</s>	<c></c>	<c></c>	\$ 368,300
Cash flows from investing activities: Proceeds from sales of available-for-sa Maturities of available-for-sale securiti Proceeds from sale of investment in aff Purchases of property and equipment Proceeds from sales of property and eq Patent costs	le securities es iliate uipment	- 1,500,000 (132,876)	443,729 4,467 ) - (67,065) 316 23,439
Net cash provided by investing activi		1,449,914	
Cash flows from financing activities: Proceeds from issuance of preferred storand warrants, net Settlement of obligation to preferred storace from issuance of common storace from issuance of common storace from line of credit payments under line of credit Payments under notes payable Payments under capital leases	ockholder	34,075 (33,275) - (480,000)	00) - 8 145 - 480,000 (1,000,000) (242,163)
Net cash (used in) provided by finance	cing activities	•	21) 1,981,669

(4,926)

-----

Net (decrease) increase in cash and cash equivalents (1,611,676) 2,728,418

Cash and cash equivalents, beginning of period 4,882,537 1,061,936

Cash and cash equivalents, end of period \$3,270,861 \$3,790,354

</TABLE>

See accompanying notes to the financial statements

5

#### 1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION:

The information presented for September 30, 2000 and 1999, and for the periods then ended is unaudited, but includes all adjustments (which consist only of normal recurring adjustments) which the management of Neoprobe Corporation (the "Company") believes to be necessary for the fair presentation of results for the periods presented. Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles have been condensed or omitted pursuant to the rules and regulations of the Securities and Exchange Commission. The results for the interim period are not necessarily indicative of results to be expected for the year. The financial statements should be read in conjunction with the Company's audited financial statements for the year ended December 31, 1999, which were included as part of the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K/A. Certain 1999 amounts have been reclassified to conform with the 2000 presentation.

### 2. COMPREHENSIVE INCOME (LOSS):

Due to the Company's net operating loss carryforward position, there are no income tax effects on comprehensive income components for any of the periods presented.

Other comprehensive income (loss) consists of the following:

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

		MONTE MBER :	IS ENDED 30,	NINE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30,				
	2000 1999 2000			1999	,			
	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>				
Net income (loss)	\$50	04,090	\$(1,680,821)	\$1,116,541	\$(4,419,011)			
Foreign currency translation ad Unrealized losses on securities		-	- (11,134)	) - - (21)	(12,338)			

Other comprehensive income (loss)

\$504,090 \$(1,691,955) \$1,116,541 \$(4,431,568)

</TABLE>

#### EARNINGS PER SHARE:

Basic earnings per share is calculated using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods. Diluted earnings per share is calculated using the weighted average number of common shares outstanding during the periods, adjusted for the effects of convertible securities, options and warrants, if dilutive.

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

THREE MONTHS ENDED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2000
SEPTEMBER 30, 2000
BASIC DILUTED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2000
DILUTED
SEPTEMBER 30, 2000
DILUTED

	EARNINGS PER SHARE	EARNINGS PER SHARE P	EARNINGS PER SHARE	EARNINGS PER SHARE
<s> Outstanding shares Effect of weighting cl</s>	<c> 26,264,2</c>	<c> <c> <c> 103 26,264,103</c></c></c>	<c> 26,264,103</c>	26,264,103
in outstanding shares Contingent shares Stock options	C	, , ,	(245,748) (390,000) - 356.820	(245,748) (390,000)
Warrants	- - 	4,453	670,081	)
Adjusted shares	25,855,34	41 26,075,393 = ==========	25,628,355	26,655,256

  |  |  |  |Due to net losses incurred during the three-month and nine-month periods ended September 30, 1999, common equivalent shares are considered anti-dilutive for these periods and are therefore not presented.

6

The following table summarizes options to purchase common stock of the Company which were outstanding during the third quarter and first nine months of 2000, but which were not included in the computation of diluted income (loss) per share because their effect was anti-dilutive.

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

> THREE MONTHS ENDED SEPTEMBER 30, 2000

NINE MONTHS ENDED **SEPTEMBER 30, 2000** 

EXERCISE PRICE	OPTIONS OUTSTANDING	EXERCISE PRICE	OPTIONS OUTSTANDING				
<	<c> <c></c></c>	<c></c>					
\$ 0.75 - \$ 2.50	583,011 \$	1.03 - \$ 2.50	602,288				
\$ 3.00 - \$ 6.00	270,570 \$	3.00 - \$ 6.00	406,819				
\$ 13.38 - \$ 15.75	93,696 \$	13.38 - \$ 17.44	131,604				
	947,277	1,140,711					

</TABLE>

<S>

### INVENTORY:

The components of inventory are as follows:

<TABLE> <CAPTION>

> SEPTEMBER 30, DECEMBER 31, 2000 1999

Materials and component parts Finished goods

<C> <C> \$ 182,382 \$ 104,441 270,939 1,029,986

\$ 453,321 \$1,134,427

</TABLE>

<S>

#### 5. LINE OF CREDIT:

The Company's \$500,000 line of credit with a bank expired under its amended terms on August 31, 2000. (See also Note 9.)

#### 6. EQUITY:

a. REDEEMABLE PREFERRED STOCK: During the first quarter of 1999, the Company completed the private placement of 30,000 shares of 5% Series B convertible preferred stock (the "Series B") for gross proceeds of \$3 million (\$2.8 million, net of certain placement costs), 2.9 million Class L warrants to purchase common stock of the Company at an initial exercise price of \$1.03 per share, and issued Unit Purchase Options ("UPOs") entitling the placement agent to purchase approximately 150,000 shares of common stock in the Company.

On November 12, 1999, the Company entered into a binding letter agreement to retire the 30,000 outstanding shares of Series B preferred stock, and to cancel the related 2.9 million Class L warrants, the UPOs and the financial advisory agreement with the Series B placement agent. The letter agreement committed the Series B holders to surrender the Series B shares and Class L warrants and for the placement agent to surrender the UPOs and cancel the financial advisory agreement as well as to grant the Company general releases from potential litigation associated with the transaction. In exchange for the retirement of the Series B preferred shares and surrendering the Class L warrants and UPOs, the Company agreed to pay the Series B holders a total of \$2.5 million and issue the Series B holders 3 million shares of common stock and warrants to purchase 3 million shares of common stock with an exercise price of \$0.74 per share. However, at December 31, 1999, final definitive agreements had not been signed. Therefore, at December 31, 1999, the Company reclassified its obligations to the Series B

7

holders to reflect the \$2.5 million payable in cash as a current liability and the remaining book value of the Series B, including dividends payable, as a long-term liability.

During January 2000, the Company executed a definitive settlement agreement with terms consistent with the letter agreement, paid the Series B holders the \$2.5 million, and issued the related stock and warrants. The transaction has been reported in the Company's first quarter 2000 financial statements and was measured based on the market price of the Company's common stock as of the execution of the definitive agreement on January 20, 2000 (i.e., \$0.59 per share). As a result, the Company reflected a loss on the retirement of the preferred shares of \$765,000 (approximately \$0.03 per share) below net income and in its calculation of loss per share during the first quarter of 2000. This amount represents the value of the cash given up plus the market value of the stock issued and the estimated market value of the warrants issued as valued on January 20, 2000 less the previously recorded book value of the Series B preferred stock and warrants. In addition, the long-term liability at December 31, 1999 was reclassified during the first quarter of 2000 to additional paid-in capital as a result of the definitive settlement.

- b. STOCK OPTIONS: During the first quarter of 2000, the Board of Directors granted options to employees and certain directors of the Company for 690,000 shares of common stock, exercisable at \$0.50 per share, vesting over three years. As of September 30, 2000, the Company has 1.7 million options outstanding under two stock option plans. Of the outstanding options, 663,000 options are exercisable as of September 30, 2000, at an average exercise price of \$4.93 per share.
- c. RESTRICTED STOCK: On March 22, 2000, the Board of Directors granted a total of 170,000 shares of restricted common stock to officers of the Company under the 1996 Stock Incentive Plan. All of the Company's 390,000 outstanding restricted shares vest on a change of control of the Company as defined in the specific grant agreements. As a result, the Company has not recorded any deferred compensation due to the inability to assess the probability of the vesting event.

#### 7. SEGMENTS AND SUBSIDIARIES INFORMATION:

- a. SEGMENTS: The Company owns or has rights to intellectual property involving three primary areas of cancer diagnosis and treatment including: hand-held gamma detection instruments currently used primarily in the application of Intraoperative Lymphatic Mapping ("ILM"), diagnostic radiopharmaceutical technology to be used in the Company's proprietary RIGS process, and Activated Cellular Therapy ("ACT"). During 1998, the Company's business plan suspended ongoing research activities related to RIGS and ACT to allow the Company to focus primarily on the hand-held gamma detection instruments while efforts are carried out to find partners or licensing parties to fund future RIGS and ACT research and development. The Company generated \$50,000 in revenue during the first quarter of 2000 under an option agreement to license its RIGS technology, but incurred no direct RIGS expenses during that period. The Company did not generate any revenue related to RIGS during the first nine months of 1999, but did incur \$475,000 in overhead and interest expenses during that period related to the RIGS segment. The Company had no revenue or expenses in either the first nine months of 2000 or 1999 related to its ACT initiative. All other revenue and costs included in the Company's financial statements for the nine-month periods ended September 30, 2000 and September 30, 1999 relate primarily to the Company's ILM initiative.
- b. SUBSIDIARIES: The Company's suspended RIGS initiative included the operations of the Company's two majority-owned international subsidiaries, Neoprobe Europe and Neoprobe Israel. Neoprobe Europe was acquired in 1993 primarily to perform a portion of the manufacturing process of the monoclonal antibody used in the first RIGS product to be used for colorectal cancer, RIGScan CR49. Neoprobe Israel was founded to radiolabel RIGScan CR49. Neoprobe Europe and Neoprobe Israel also both performed limited research and development activities related to the Company's RIGS process on behalf of the U.S. parent company. Under SFAS No. 131, neither subsidiary is considered a segment. During 1998, the Company initiated steps to liquidate both Neoprobe Europe and Neoprobe Israel as a result of the suspension of RIGS research and development activities. At December 31, 1999, both subsidiaries were deconsolidated due to statutory liquidation or receivership activities then underway.

8

#### 8. AGREEMENTS:

- a. PLEXUS MANUFACTURING AND SUPPLY AGREEMENT: In March 2000, the Company entered into a manufacturing and supply agreement with Plexus for the exclusive manufacture of the Company's 14mm probe and neo2000 control unit. The original term of the agreement expires on December 31, 2003 but may be extended for an additional year given six months notice prior to December 31, 2003. The Company has the right to terminate the agreement upon six months written notice. The agreement may be terminated by either party in the event of material breach or insolvency, or by the Company in the event of failure to supply. The Company may also have the covered product manufactured by other suppliers in the event of failure to supply or if the Company is able to secure another source of supply with significantly more favorable pricing terms than those offered by Plexus. The agreement calls for the Company to deliver rolling 12-month product forecasts to Plexus and to place purchase orders 60 days prior to requested delivery in accordance with the forecast. In the event the agreement is terminated by Neoprobe or if Plexus ceases to be the exclusive supplier of the covered products, the Company is required to purchase all finished components on hand at Plexus plus raw materials not able to be restocked with suppliers.
- b. NURIGS OPTION AGREEMENT: During the first quarter of 2000, the Company entered into an option agreement for the development of its initial RIGS compound, RIGScan CR. The option agreement is with a newly-formed development entity, NuRigs, Ltd. ("NuRigs"). Based in

Tel Aviv, Israel, NuRigs has been organized for the express purpose of developing a second-generation humanized RIGScan CR antibody fragment. The Company recognized \$50,000 in milestone revenue during the first quarter of 2000 based upon written notification from NuRigs of its intention to proceed with preliminary clinical evaluation of the second-generation RIGScan CR product. During September 2000, NuRigs and the Company agreed to extend the term of the option for one year to December 31, 2001 in exchange for \$100,000 in additional option fees to be paid in four equal quarterly installments beginning December 31, 2000. The option agreement calls for Neoprobe to receive, with the execution of a definitive agreement, a license fee of up to \$900,000 and a product royalty of approximately 5 percent on NuRigs' commercial sales of the product. The Company and NuRigs expect to begin negotiating a definitive license agreement that may be completed during 2001, at the earliest. However, there can be no assurance that a definitive license agreement will be completed, on terms consistent with the option agreement, or at all. Under the terms of the option, NuRigs will assume all clinical and other development costs for RIGScan

## ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

The statements contained in this Management Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations and other parts of this Report that are not purely historical or which might be considered an opinion or projection concerning the Company or its business, whether express or implied, are forward-looking statements within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. These statements may include statements about the Company's plans and strategies for future financial performance new and existing products and technologies and markets for the Company's products which involve risks and uncertainties. The words "believe," "expect," "anticipate," "estimate," "project," and similar expressions identify forward-looking statements that speak only as the date hereof. The Company assumes no obligation to update any such forward looking statements. Investors are cautioned that the Company's actual results in 2000 and future periods may

9

differ significantly from the prospects discussed in the forward-looking statements. Factors that could cause or contribute to such differences include, but are not limited to, limited revenues, continuing net losses, accumulated deficit, future capital needs, uncertainty of capital funding, dependence on exclusive distributor, competition, limited marketing experience, limited manufacturing experience, dependence on principal product line, uncertainty of market acceptance, patents, proprietary technology and trade secrets, government regulation, risk of technological obsolescence, limited third party reimbursement, product liability, need to manage a changing business, possible volatility of stock, anti-takeover provisions, dependence on key personnel, and no dividends.

### LIQUIDITY AND CAPITAL RESOURCES

Operating Activities. Through September 30, 2000, the Company's activities have resulted in an accumulated deficit of \$118 million. Substantially all of the Company's efforts and resources through early 1999 were devoted to research and clinical development of innovative systems for the intraoperative diagnosis and treatment of cancers. To date, the Company's activities have been financed primarily through the public and private sale of equity securities. Prior to 1999, the Company's research and development efforts were principally related to the Company's proprietary RIGS system. Efforts since early 1997 also included activities related to development of the Company's ACT process and ILM products. Beginning in the first half of 1998, due primarily to feedback received from regulatory authorities in the U.S. and Europe related to the Company's applications for marketing approval for its RIGScan CR49 product, the Company began a series of changes to its business plan. Since that time, the Company has continued to modify its business plan to one that is primarily focused on the continued development of the Company's ILM business. During 1999, the Company continued the operating expense reduction efforts started in 1998 and has substantially eliminated any non-ILM-related research and development

activities.

To further support the Company's goal of achieving operating profitability, the Company entered into a multi-year distribution agreement with Ethicon Endo-Surgery, Inc. ("EES"), a Johnson & Johnson company, effective October 1, 1999. As a result of activities under the agreement, the Company achieved operating profitability of \$1.1 million through the first nine months of 2000 and expects to be profitable for the fourth quarter of 2000 and for the year. However, there can be no assurances that the Company will achieve the volume of sales anticipated in connection with the agreement, or if achieved, that the margin on such sales will be adequate to achieve operating profitability in the near term, or at all.

During the first quarter of 2000, the Company entered into an option agreement for the development of its initial RIGS compound, RIGScan CR. The option agreement is with a newly-formed development entity, NuRigs, Ltd. ("NuRigs"). Based in Tel Aviv, Israel, NuRigs has been organized for the express purpose of developing a second-generation humanized RIGScan CR antibody fragment. The Company recognized \$50,000 in milestone revenue during the first quarter of 2000 based upon written notification from NuRigs of its intention to proceed with preliminary clinical evaluation of the second-generation RIGScan CR product. The option agreement calls for Neoprobe to receive, with the execution of a definitive agreement, a license fee of \$900,000 and a product royalty of approximately 5 percent on NuRigs' commercial sales of the product. During September 2000, NuRigs and the Company agreed to extend the term of the option for one year to December 31, 2001 in exchange for \$100,000 in additional option fees to be paid in four equal quarterly installments beginning December 31, 2000. The Company and NuRigs expect to begin negotiating a definitive license agreement that may be completed in 2001, at the earliest. However, there can be no assurance that a definitive license agreement will be completed on terms consistent with the option agreement, or at all. Under the terms of the option, NuRigs will assume all clinical and other development costs for RIGScan CR. During the third quarter, NuRigs received a response to its regulatory submission to commence clinical evaluation of the humanized version of RIGScan CR. The regulatory agencies have requested additional preclinical testing of the humanized version of RIGScan CR before authorizing the commencement of patient studies. NuRigs expects amended regulatory submissions will be made during the first quarter of 2001 and the clinical studies will be authorized shortly thereafter.

Accounts receivable increased significantly at September 30, 2000 from December 31, 1999 due primarily to the timing of sales to EES during the third quarter of 2000 versus the fourth quarter of 1999. Inventory levels declined at September 30, 2000 as compared to December 31, 1999. Inventory at December 31, 1999 included approximately \$640,000 of demonstrator units the Company had repurchased from KOL BioMedical Instruments, Inc. ("KOL"), in accordance with the termination of a marketing agreement with KOL, that were purchased by EES related to entering the distribution agreement. The Company expects receivable levels to fluctuate in 2000 depending on the timing of

10

purchases by EES. Inventory is expected to remain relatively constant except for slight increases as the Company periodically builds safety stock to safeguard against supply interruptions.

At September 30, 2000, the Company's balance sheet does not reflect any obligations of Neoprobe Israel. However, it is possible, in the event any proceeds of the liquidation of Neoprobe Israel do not fully satisfy the outstanding obligations of Neoprobe Israel, that creditors could seek to pursue claims directly against the Company under a judicial doctrine generally referred to as "piercing the corporate veil." In the event the Creditors were successful in making a claim under this judicial doctrine, the Company may be required to pay the Creditors some or all of the amounts owed by Neoprobe Israel. Payment of such an amount would severely deplete the Company's cash, and the Company might not be able to continue operations without seeking Creditor relief. However, Management believes that the prospect that Creditors would prevail if such claims were brought against the Company is remote. As such, no provision for such a contingent loss has been recorded in the Company's financial statements at September 30, 2000.

Investing Activities. The Company's investing activities during the first nine months of 2000 involved primarily the sale of its equity interest in XTL

Biopharmaceuticals Ltd. ("XTL") for \$1.5 million. The Company's investing activities during the first nine months of 1999 involved primarily the sale of certain available-for-sale securities to fund operations.

Financing Activities. On February 16, 1999, the Company executed a Purchase Agreement (the "Purchase Agreement") to complete the private placement of 30,000 shares of 5% Series B convertible preferred stock (the "Series B") for gross proceeds of \$3 million (\$2.8 million, net). The Series B were issued with a \$100 per share stated value and were convertible into common stock of the Company. In connection with the private placement, the Company also issued 2.9 million Class L warrants to purchase common stock of the Company at an initial exercise price of \$1.03 per share. The Series B paid a 5% annual dividend payable in cash or common stock. The Series B were convertible at variable prices based on the market price of the Company's common stock, subject to a conversion price floor of \$0.55. The Class L warrants were also subject to variable exercise prices, subject to an exercise price floor of \$0.62. Holders of the Series B had certain liquidation preferences over other shareholders under certain provisions as defined in the Purchase Agreement and had the right to cast the same number of votes as if the owner had converted on the record date. Pursuant to the private placement, the Company entered into a financial advisory agreement with the placement agent providing the agent with Unit Purchase Options ("UPOs") entitling the placement agent to purchase approximately 150,000 shares of common stock in the Company. Under certain conditions, the Company would have been obligated to redeem outstanding shares of Series B for \$120 per share (i.e., a total of \$3.6 million) such as the delisting of the Company's common stock from the Nasdaq Stock Market as occurred on July 27, 1999 and other conditions outlined in the Purchase Agreement.

The Series B were recorded by the Company during the first quarter of 1999 at the amount of gross proceeds less the costs of the financing and the fair value of the warrants and classified as mezzanine financing above the stockholders' equity section on the Company's interim balance sheets for 1999. The calculated conversion price at February 16, 1999, the first available conversion date, was \$1.03 per share. In accordance with the FASB's Emerging Issues Task Force Topic D-60, the difference between the initial conversion price and the closing market price on February 16, 1999 of \$1.81 resulted in an implied incremental yield to Series B holders of approximately \$1.8 million that is reflected as conversion discount in the Company's loss per share calculation for the first quarter of 1999.

On November 12, 1999, the Company entered into a binding letter agreement to retire the 30,000 outstanding shares of Series B preferred stock, the related 2.9 million Class L warrants and the Unit Purchase Options ("UPOs") and to cancel the financial advisory agreement with the placement agent for the Series B. The letter agreement committed the Series B holders to surrender the Series B shares and Class L warrants and for the placement agent to surrender the UPOs and cancel the financial advisory agreement as well as to grant the Company general releases from potential litigation associated with the transaction. In exchange for the retirement of the Series B preferred shares and surrendering the Class L warrants and UPOs, the Company agreed to pay the Series B holders a total of \$2.5 million and issue the Series B holders 3 million shares of common stock and 3 million warrants to purchase common stock with an exercise price of \$0.74 per share. However, at December 31, 1999, final definitive agreements had not been signed. Therefore, at December 31, 1999, the Company reclassified its obligations to the Series B holders to reflect the \$2.5 million payable in cash as a current liability and the remaining book value of the Series B, including

1

dividends payable, as a long-term liability. In January 2000, the Company executed a definitive settlement agreement with terms consistent with the letter of intent, paid the Series B holders the \$2.5 million, and issued the related stock and warrants. The Company reported a loss on the retirement of the preferred shares of \$765,000 (approximately \$0.03 per share) below net income during the first quarter of 2000. This amount represents the value of the cash given up plus the market value of the stock and warrants issued as valued on January 20, 2000 less the previously recorded book value of the Series B preferred stock and warrants.

Operational Outlook. The Company's only approved products are instruments and related products used in gamma guided surgery. The Company does not currently have a RIGS drug or ACT product approved for commercial sale in any major market. The Company entered into a distribution agreement (the "Agreement") with EES effective October 1, 1999, for an initial five-year term with options to

extend for two successive two-year terms. Under the Agreement, the Company will manufacture and sell its ILM products (the "Products") exclusively to EES who will distribute the Products globally. EES agreed to purchase minimum quantities of the Company's Products over the first three years of the term of the Agreement and to reimburse the Company for certain research and development costs and a portion of the Company's warranty costs. The Company is obligated to continue certain product maintenance activities and to provide ongoing regulatory support for the Products. As a result of entering the Agreement, the Company expects to achieve operating profitability on an annual basis in the near term. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will achieve the volume of sales anticipated in connection with the Agreement, or if achieved, that the margin on such sales will be adequate to achieve operating profitability on either an interim or annual basis in the near term, or at all.

Under the Agreement, EES received a secondary worldwide paid-up license (the "License") to the Company's ILM intellectual property to make and sell other products that may be developed using the Company's ILM intellectual property. The term of the License is the same as that of the Agreement. EES paid the Company a non-refundable license fee of \$4 million. The Company is recognizing the license fee as revenue ratably over the five-year initial term of the Agreement. If the Agreement is terminated by the Company as a result of a material breach by EES, EES would be required to pay the Company a royalty on all products developed and sold by EES using the Company's ILM intellectual property. In addition, the Company is entitled to a royalty on any ILM product commercialized by EES that does not infringe any of the Company's existing intellectual property.

The Company has entered into an option agreement with NuRigs, Ltd. ("NuRigs"), a Tel Aviv, Israel entity, interested in commercializing a second-generation antibody for use in colorectal cancer surgery. During the first half of 2000, NuRigs personnel met with regulatory agencies to prepare for the commencement of human clinical evaluation of the second-generation humanized RIGScan CR agent. In the third quarter, the regulatory agencies advised NuRigs that they required additional preclinical testing of the RIGScan CR agent before authorizing the human clinical studies. As a result of the regulatory requirements, NuRigs requested an extension of the option agreement. NuRigs and the Company agreed to extend the term of the option for one year to December 31, 2001 in exchange for additional option fees to be paid in four equal quarterly installments of \$25,000 each beginning December 31, 2000. At this time, the Company has not reached definitive agreement with NuRigs that would ensure the continued development of the RIGS process. In addition, should NuRigs ultimately decide to exercise its license option and reach an agreement satisfactory to the Company, the Company believes that the likely timeframe required for the continued development, regulatory approval and commercialization of a RIGS product would take a minimum of four to five years before the Company would receive any significant product-related royalties. However, there can be no assurance that the Company will be able to complete definitive license agreements with NuRigs for the RIGS technology, on terms acceptable to the Company, or at all.

During the third quarter of 2000, the Company and the University of California, San Diego ("UCSD") modified the option agreement involving a lymphatic targeting agent developed by researchers at UCSD. UCSD researchers have been meeting with the FDA to gain

12

clearance to begin human clinical evaluation of the agent. In the second and third quarter, the Company completed preclinical studies of the agent to support the UCSD researchers' FDA submissions. The Company expects to begin human clinical evaluation of the UCSD lymphatic agent in the first quarter of 2001.

To date, a partner for ACT has not been identified or secured. Until definitive agreements with development partners are reached and the appropriate regulatory approvals are received, the Company is limited in its ability to generate revenue from RIGS or ACT. The Company therefore intends to continue to focus on further development of the ILM market in conjunction with its distribution partner, EES.

As of September 30, 2000, the Company had cash and cash equivalents of \$3.3 million. The Company expects to generate positive cash flow from operations in the near term as a result of the Agreement with EES. However, there can be no assurances that the Company will achieve the volume of sales anticipated in connection with the Agreement, or if achieved that the margin on such sales will be adequate to produce positive operating cash flow. The Company expects to

continue to experience cost savings during 2000 as a result of the transfer of marketing responsibilities for the Company's ILM products to EES. In January 2000, the Company sold its investment in XTL for \$1.5 million. The Company believes its September 30, 2000 cash balances and sources of future cash flow are adequate for the Company to continue operating for the foreseeable future. However, if the Company does not receive adequate funds from operations, it may need to further modify its business plan and seek other financing alternatives. Such alternatives may include asset dispositions that could force the Company to further change its business plan.

The Company has, from time to time, been approached by entities interested in acquiring some or all of the assets of the Company. The Company has, as appropriate, engaged in discussions with certain of these entities; however, such discussions to this point have been only preliminary in nature and none has resulted in a definitive transaction for further consideration. The Company anticipates that it may continue to be approached by such entities. At such time as a definitive transaction is proposed, if any, it will be considered by management and the Board of Directors, and if necessary, referred to the shareholders of the Company for their consideration. However, there can be no assurances that such a transaction will be proposed, or if proposed, that the terms would be acceptable to the Company or its shareholders.

At December 31, 1999, the Company had U.S. net operating tax loss carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards of approximately \$93.3 million and \$4.9 million, respectively, available to offset or reduce future income tax liability, if any, through 2019. However, under Sections 382 and 383 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986, as amended, use of prior tax loss and credit carryforwards may be limited after an ownership change. As a result of ownership changes as defined by Sections 382 and 383, which have occurred at various points in the Company's history, management believes utilization of the Company's tax loss carryforwards and tax credit carryforwards may be limited.

Impact of Recent Accounting Pronouncements. In June 1998, the Financial Accounting Standards Board issued Statement of Financial Accounting Standards ("SFAS") No. 133, Accounting for Derivative Instruments and Hedging Activities. SFAS No. 133 was originally required to be adopted in years beginning after June 15, 1999; however, SFAS No. 137 deferred the effective date to fiscal quarters of fiscal years beginning after June 15, 2000. The Company expects to adopt SFAS No. 133 and a second related amendment, SFAS No. 138 effective January 1, 2001. The Statement will require companies to recognize all derivatives on the balance sheet at fair value. Derivatives that are not hedges must be adjusted to fair value through income. If a derivative is a hedge, depending on the nature of the hedge, changes in the fair value of the derivative will either be offset against the change in fair value of the hedge asset, liability or firm commitment through earnings, or recognized in other comprehensive income until the hedge item is recognized in earnings. The ineffective portion of a derivative's change in fair value will be immediately recognized in earnings. The Company anticipates that the adoption of this Statement will have no impact on its results of operations or financial position.

On December 31, 1999, the SEC staff issued Staff Accounting Bulletin ("SAB") No. 101, Revenue Recognition in Financial Statements. SAB 101 was also amended by SAB 101A and SAB 101B. SAB 101, SAB 101A and SAB

13

101B summarize certain of the staff's views in applying generally accepted accounting principles to revenue recognition in financial statements. SAB 101 adds new major Topic 13, "Revenue Recognition" and Topic 13:A, "Views on Selected Revenue Recognition Issues" to the Staff Accounting Bulletin Series. The Company adopted SAB 101 during the second quarter of 2000. The adoption of this SAB had no material impact on the Company's results of operations or financial position.

### RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

Revenue for the first nine months of 2000 increased \$1.7 million or 32% to \$6.9 million from \$5.2 million for the same period in 1999. Research and development expenses during the first nine months of 2000 were \$436,000 or 18% of operating expenses. Marketing and selling expenses were \$205,000 or 8% of operating expenses, and general and administrative expenses were \$1.8 million or 74% of operating expenses. Overall, operating expenses for the first nine months of

2000 decreased \$5.7 million or 70% over the same period in 1999. The Company anticipates that total operating expenses for the remainder of 2000 will also decrease from 1999 levels. The Company expects research and development and general and administrative expenses to decrease slightly for the remainder of 2000 as compared to 1999 levels as a result of cost containment measures implemented during 1999 and research and development reimbursement provided by EES. Marketing expenses, as a percentage of sales, decreased to 3% of sales for the first nine months of 2000 from 74% of sales for the same period in 1999. The Company expects marketing and selling expenses for the remainder of 2000 to continue to decrease from 1999 levels.

Three months ended September 30, 2000 and 1999

Revenues and Margins. Net product sales increased \$724,000 or 52% to \$2.1 million during the third quarter of 2000 from \$1.4 million during the same period in 1999. Sales during both periods were comprised almost entirely of sales of the Company's hand-held gamma detection instruments. Gross margins decreased to 42% of net sales for the third quarter of 2000 from 67% of net sales for the same period in 1999. The decrease in gross margins is primarily the result of the change in the type of sales made by the Company related to entering the distribution agreement with EES at the end of September 1999. Under the terms of this agreement, the Company's instrument products are sold to EES at a wholesale transfer price. Prior to entering the EES agreement, the Company sold its instrument products directly to end customers at retail prices during the third quarter of 1999. The cost to manufacture the Company's products did not change significantly from 1999 to 2000. The effect of the decrease in gross margins on profitability is offset by the decline in marketing expenses discussed below. Revenues in the third quarter of 2000 also included \$200,000 from the pro-rata recognition of license fees related to the distribution agreement with EES.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses decreased \$18,000 or 23% to \$60,000 during the third quarter of 2000 from \$78,000 during the same period in 1999. The decrease is primarily due to the reimbursement of certain research and development expenses associated with the Company's distribution agreement with EES.

Marketing and Selling Expenses. Marketing and selling expenses decreased \$881,000 or 95% to \$42,000 during the third quarter of 2000 from \$924,000 during the same period in 1999, excluding a one-time \$700,000 charge related to termination of the Company's agreement with KOL. Marketing and selling expenses, as a percentage of sales, decreased to 2% of sales for the third quarter of 2000 from 66% of sales for the same period in 1999. These results reflect lower internal marketing headcount and out-of-pocket expense levels during the third quarter of 2000 as compared to the same period in 1999 as well as elimination of marketing partner commissions over the same periods, due to entering the distribution agreement with EES.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses decreased \$520,000 or 48% to \$557,000 during the third quarter of 2000 from \$1.1 million during the same period in 1999. The decrease was primarily a result of reductions in overhead costs such as professional services, space costs, taxes and insurance.

Other Income. Other income decreased \$70,000 to \$96,000 during the third quarter of 2000 from \$166,000 during the same period in 1999. Other income during the third quarter of 2000 consisted primarily of interest income and gains on the sale of certain property and equipment. Other income during the third quarter of 1999 consisted

14

primarily of one-time gains from the settlement of certain previously recorded liabilities at less than their original face value. The Company's interest income increased due to overall average levels of cash and investments during the third quarter of 2000 as compared to the same period in 1999.

Nine months ended September 30, 2000 and 1999

Revenues and Margins. Net product sales increased \$1.0 million or 19% to \$6.2

million during the first nine months of 2000 from \$5.2 million during the same period in 1999. Sales during both periods were comprised almost entirely of sales of the Company's hand-held gamma detection instruments. Gross margins decreased to 44% of net sales for the first nine months of 2000 from 67% of net sales for the same period in 1999. The decrease in gross margins is primarily the result of the change in the type of sales made by the Company related to entering the distribution agreement with EES at the end of September 1999. Under the terms of this agreement, the Company's instrument products are sold to EES at a wholesale transfer price. Prior to entering the EES agreement, the Company sold its instrument products directly to end customers at retail prices during the first nine months of 1999. The cost to manufacture the Company's products did not change significantly from 1999 to 2000. The effect of the decrease in gross margins on profitability is offset by the decline in marketing expenses discussed below. Revenues in the first nine months of 2000 also included \$600,000 from the pro-rata recognition of license fees related to the distribution agreement with EES and \$50,000 from the recognition of milestone fees related to the NuRigs option agreement to license certain of the Company's RIGS products.

Research and Development Expenses. Research and development expenses decreased \$456,000 or 51% to \$436,000 during the first nine months of 2000 from \$892,000 during the same period in 1999. The decrease is primarily due to the reimbursement of certain research and development expenses associated with the Company's distribution agreement with EES. Research and development expenses during the first nine months of 2000 included approximately \$40,000 in non-recurring severance costs and \$150,000 in unreimbursed costs for development of products launched in fiscal year 2000.

Marketing and Selling Expenses. Marketing and selling expenses decreased \$3.0 million or 94% to \$205,000 during the first nine months of 2000 from \$3.2 million during the same period in 1999, excluding a one-time \$700,000 charge related to termination of the Company's agreement with KOL. Marketing and selling expenses, as a percentage of sales, decreased to 3% of sales for the first nine months of 2000 from 61% of sales for the same period in 1999. These results reflect lower internal marketing headcount and out-of-pocket expense levels during the first nine months of 2000 as compared to the same period in 1999 as well as elimination of marketing partner commissions over the same periods, due to entering the distribution agreement with EES. The first nine months of 2000 also included approximately \$40,000 in non-recurring severance charges related to the separation of marketing personnel.

General and Administrative Expenses. General and administrative expenses decreased \$1.1 million or 38% to \$1.8 million during the first nine months of 2000 from \$2.9 million during the same period in 1999. The decrease was primarily a result of reductions in overhead costs such as professional services, space costs, taxes and insurance.

Losses Related to Subsidiaries in Liquidation. The Company incurred certain charges during the first nine months of 1999 related to interest and other overhead costs incurred during the wind-down process of subsidiaries in liquidation. No such charges were incurred in the first nine months of 2000.

Other Income. Other income decreased \$44,000 or 18% to \$198,000 during the first nine months of 2000 from \$243,000 during the same period in 1999. Other income during the first nine months of 2000 consisted primarily of interest income and gains on the sale of certain property and equipment. Other income during the first nine months of 1999 consisted primarily of gains from the settlement of certain previously recorded liabilities at less than their original face value. The Company's interest income increased due to overall average levels of cash and investments during the first nine months of 2000 as compared to the same period in 1999.

### ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

The Company does not currently use derivative financial instruments, such as interest rate swaps, to manage its exposure to changes in interest rates for its debt instruments or investment securities. As of September 30, 2000 and December 31, 1999, the Company had outstanding debt instruments of \$47,000 and \$790,000, respectively. Outstanding debt consisted primarily of a variable rate line of credit and fixed rate financing instruments, with average interest rates of 13% and 8% at September 30, 2000 and December 31, 1999, respectively. At September 30, 2000 and December 31, 1999, the fair market values of the Company's debt instruments approximated their carrying values. A hypothetical 100-basis point

change in interest rates would not have a material effect on cash flows, income or market values.

15

#### PART II - OTHER INFORMATION

#### ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS.

None

#### ITEM 2. CHANGES IN SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS.

On February 16, 1999, the Registrant executed a purchase agreement to complete the private placement of 30,000 shares of 5% Series B redeemable convertible preferred stock (the "Series B"). The Series B were issued with a \$100 per share stated value and were convertible into common stock of the Registrant at the option of the Series B holders. In connection with the private placement, the Registrant also issued 2.9 million Class L warrants to purchase common stock of the Registrant at an initial exercise price of \$1.03 per share and the Registrant entered into a financial advisory agreement with the placement agent providing the agent with Unit Purchase Options ("UPOs") entitling the placement agent to purchase approximately 150,000 shares of common stock in the Registrant.

On January 20, 2000, the Registrant executed a definitive Settlement Agreement with the Series B holders to retire the 30,000 shares of Series B preferred stock issued in February 1999. In addition to retiring the preferred shares, the Series B holders returned the Class L warrants issued in connection with the Series B and the placement agent returned the UPOs. In exchange for the retirement of the Series B preferred shares and surrendering the Class L warrants and UPOs, the Registrant paid the Series B holders \$2.5 million and issued to the Series B Holders 3 million shares of common stock of the Registrant and 3 million warrants to purchase common stock of the Registrant with an exercise price of \$0.74 per share.

On May 9, 2000, the Registrant filed a Certificate of Elimination with the Secretary of State of the State of Delaware to remove all reference to the Series B from the Registrant's Restated Certificate of Incorporation.

#### ITEM 3. DEFAULTS UPON SENIOR SECURITIES.

None.

ITEM 4. SUBMISSION OF MATTERS TO A VOTE OF SECURITY HOLDERS.

None.

ITEM 5. OTHER INFORMATION.

None.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS AND REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

#### (a) LIST OF EXHIBITS

## 11. STATEMENT REGARDING COMPUTATION OF PER SHARE EARNINGS

Exhibit 11.1

Computation of Income (Loss) Per Share.

Page 20 in the manually signed original.

16

## 27. FINANCIAL DATA SCHEDULE

Exhibit 27.1

Financial Data Schedule (submitted electronically for SEC information only).

## (b) REPORTS ON FORM 8-K.

None.

#### **SIGNATURES**

In accordance with the requirements of the Exchange Act, the registrant caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NEOPROBE CORPORATION (the Registrant) Dated: November 13, 2000 By: /s/ David C. Bupp David C. Bupp President and Chief Executive Officer (duly authorized officer; principal executive officer) By: /s/ Brent L. Larson Brent L. Larson Vice President, Finance and Chief Financial Officer (principal financial and accounting officer) 17 SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION WASHINGTON, D.C. 20549 NEOPROBE CORPORATION \_\_\_\_\_ FORM 10-Q QUARTERLY REPORT FOR THE FISCAL QUARTER ENDED: **SEPTEMBER 30, 2000** 

**EXHIBITS** 

- -----

## INDEX

## EXHIBIT 11.1

Computation of Income (Loss) Per Share.

## EXHIBIT 27.1

Financial Data Schedule (submitted electronically for SEC information only).

## Exhibit 11.1

# NEOPROBE CORPORATION AND SUBSIDIARIES COMPUTATION OF INCOME (LOSS) PER SHARE

<table> <caption></caption></table>			ded Nine Months E September 30,		Ended	
	2000	1999	2000	1999		
<s> Weighted average number of common share</s>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>	<c></c>		
used in computing basic income (loss) per		•	55,341	23,044,405	25,628,355	22,988,908
Add net shares issuable pursuant to stock op less shares assumed repurchased at the ave		price	215,599	9 -	356,820	-
Add net shares issuable pursuant to outstand less shares assumed repurchased at the ave	•		4,453	-	670,081	-
Weighted average number of common share used in computing diluted income (loss) po			75,393	23,044,405	26,655,256	22,988,908
Income (loss) attributable to common stockh	nolders	\$ 5	04,090	\$(3,536,832)	\$ 351,873	\$(8,127,047)
Basic income (loss) per share attributable to	common sto	ckholders	\$ 0.	02 \$ (0.13	5) \$ 0.01	\$ (0.35)
Diluted income (loss) per share attributable	to common s	tockholders	\$ 0	.02 \$ (0.1	5) \$ 0.01	\$ (0.35)

  | ~~-~~ |  | \_ |  |  |

```
<TABLE> <S> <C>
<ARTICLE> 5
```

<S> <C> <PERIOD-TYPE> 9-MOS <FISCAL-YEAR-END> DEC-31-2000 <PERIOD-START> JAN-01-2000 <PERIOD-END> SEP-30-2000 <CASH> 3,270,861 <SECURITIES> 0 <RECEIVABLES> 1,156,574 <ALLOWANCES> 79,820 <INVENTORY> 453,321 <CURRENT-ASSETS> 4,850,869 <PP&E> 2,200,623 <DEPRECIATION> 1,320,138 <TOTAL-ASSETS> 6,502,662 <CURRENT-LIABILITIES> 1,824,585 35,903 <BONDS> <PREFERRED-MANDATORY> 0 <PREFERRED> 0 <COMMON> 26,264 2,215,910 <OTHER-SE> <TOTAL-LIABILITY-AND-EQUITY> 6,502,662 <SALES> 6,242,461 6,892,461 <TOTAL-REVENUES> 3,512,767 <CGS> <TOTAL-COSTS> 3,512,767 <OTHER-EXPENSES> 435,620 <LOSS-PROVISION> 0 <INTEREST-EXPENSE> 20,435 <INCOME-PRETAX> 1,142,837 <INCOME-TAX> 26,296 <INCOME-CONTINUING> 1,116,541 <DISCONTINUED> 0 0 <EXTRAORDINARY> 0 <CHANGES> <NET-INCOME> 1,116,541 <EPS-BASIC> 0.01 <EPS-DILUTED> 0.01

</TABLE>